

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,730	11/25/2003	Yoshikazu Ikenoue	05058/11205	5773
24367 75	90 08/31/2005		EXAM	INER
SIDLEY AUS	TIN BROWN & WC	BALI, VIKKRAM		
717 NORTH H	ARWOOD			
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201			2623	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/721,730	IKENOUE, YOSHIKAZU		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Vikkram Bali	2623		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	<u>anuary 2005</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□	 Claim(s) 16-18 and 34-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-18 and 34-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. 				
Applicat	ion Papers	,			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠))⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/25/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application ty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	ıt(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/721,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference fails to show or suggest generating additional data from the received code data and synthesize that with the image data (page 3 of remarks). Examine disagrees and would like to point out that the claim limitations are given their broadest reasonable interpretations. The reference Komatsu discloses an analyzer to generated image data, a generator to generate additional data and a synthesizer to synthesize additional and image data (page 24, col. 1, lines 25-50, the image data M and the additional data S and the combination of two as C i.e. the S and M is synthesize to form a image data) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2623

2. Claims 16-18 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over A proposal on digital watermark in document image communication and its application to realizing a signature, by Komatsu et al (hereinafter Komatsu).

With respect to claim 16 Komatsu discloses an analyzer to generated image data, a generator to generate additional data and a synthesizer to synthesize additional and image data (page 24, col. 1, lines 25-50, the image data M and the additional data S and the combination of two as C) as claimed. However, he fails to explicitly disclose the data received by the processor, as claimed. But, there has to be some means in order to receive the image data in order to process the image M data to combine with the additional data S.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply use the Komatsu's watermarking system in order to synthesize the additional and image data in order to come up with the synthesized data as claimed.

With respect to claim 17, he further discloses generation of the additional data from the coordinate data of the received data, (see page 23, col. 1, last paragraph, wherein the additional data A is formed on to the image data B, the additional data A as supplied to the processor does include all the attributes of the image A that does composes the coordinate data of A) as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,730 Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

With respect to claim 18, he further discloses received data is outline font data representing contours of characters, (Komatsu code the entire image and the image does include the contours also) as claimed.

Claims 34-36 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claims 16-18 because claims 34-36 are claiming similar subject matter as claimed in claims 16-18.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 571.272.7415. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 571.272.7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vikkram Bali

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2623

vb August 25, 2005